

(NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Senses Parent and Tredemark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Inc 16th Microbia, During 22(D) 16th

	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION ND	
09/26/2003	Korchi Yokota	Q17459	8393	
06102004		EXAMINER		
LC Avenue N.W.		SCHILLING, RICHARD L		
Washington, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.LC Avenue, N.W.	osennos LC Avenue, N.W.	65 POZITO EXAM LC SCHILLING, AVERING, N.W.	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		1 /
Office Action Summary	10/67/9/0 Examiner	Yo	Ko ta c	etal
	RLSchi	lling	1752	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	vrespondence a	address-
Period for Reply	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S	FROM THE MA	AILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communities. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period state, by default, Failure to reply within the set or eathered period for reply with prise and resulted period for reply with prise and the standard period for reply with prise and the standard period for reply with prise and the office of the standard period for reply with prise and the office of the standard period for respirators. See 37 CFR 1704(s). 	ely within the statutory min expire SIX (5) MONTHS for te, cause the application t	imum of thirty (3 om the mailing d to become ABA)	(0) days will be core late of this communi	sidered timely. ication. 6 133.
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayte, 1935 	or formal matters, pro C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	secution as t	o the merits is	closed in
Disposition of Claims				
=etam(s) -10		is/are p	ending in the ap	plication.
Of the above claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are a	flowed.	
G-Claim(s) 1-10				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are o	bjected to.	
☐ Claim(s)			ject to restriction	or election
Application Papers		requires		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapprove	xd.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objects	d to by the Examiner			
□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
Acknowledgement is made of e claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	⊢(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec 		۰		
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in the certified copies of the priority documents.				
In this national stage application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a))		
*Certified copies not received:				—·
Attachment(s)	//			
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). <i>2-19-0</i> 7 on	derview Sumn	nary, PTO-413	
☑ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Inform	nel Patent Applic	ation, PTO-15
□ Notice of Destroyment Patent Dession Parious PTO 049	по	ther		

Office Action Summary

- Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. There are periods in the middle of claims 1-3.
- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under Section 122(b), yanother filed in the funted States before the Invention by the filed that the filed states before the invention by the section of the filed that the filed states before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Fatentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Ikeda et al. The applied reference has a common assignee and inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another." or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. Ikeda et al. (see particularly paragraphs 6-15, 19; Example 1) discloses color photographic materials containing protective layers with UV absorbing agents and surfactants within the scope of Formula A of the instant claims. Ikeda et al. does not disclose the relative

sensitivities of the photographic elements to blue and UV exposure or the sensitivity ratio required by the instant claims. However, the color photographic elements of Example 1 in Ikeda et al. inherently meet the sensitivity ratios of the instant claims. Example 1 in applicants' specification alters the sensitivity ratios by altering the amount of UV absorbing agents in the protective layers to lower the sensitivity to UV light of the photographic films. The total amount of UV absorbing agent in the protective layers in Example 1 of applicants' specification is .468 g/m2 while in Example 1 of Ikeda et al. the protective layer contains UV absorbers at .567 g/m2, i.e. about 20% more UV absorbing agent. Also, Example 1 in Ikeda et al. contains about .3 g/m2 of UV absorbing silver halide while Example 1 in applicants' specification contains about .28 g/m2 of UV absorbing silver halide. The UV absorbing protective layers of Example 1 in applicants' specification and Example 1 in Ikeda et al. contain the same ingredients at the same coverages except for the UV absorbing compounds. Since the protective layers in Ikeda et al. contain substantially more UV absorbing compounds, the photographic elements of Example 1 in Ikeda et al. would have lower sensitivities to UV light, i.e. 370 nm , than Example 1 in applicants' specification. The ratio of sensitivity of 420 nm to 370 nm in Example 1 in applicants' specification is lowered by

increasing the amount of UV absorbing agents. Since Example 1 in Ikeda et al. has substantially more UV absorbing agents than Example 1 in applicants' specification, the sensitivity ratio in Example 1 of Ikeda et al. would be substantially less than 75% reported for Example 1 in applicants' specification.

 Claims 1-4 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamanouchi et al. The applied reference has a common inventor and assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. Yamanouchi et al. (see particularly column 2, lines 30-57; column 8, lines 25-50; Examples 1 and 2) disclose color photographic elements comprising protective layers containing UV absorbing agents and surfactants within the scope of Formula B of the instant claims. Example 2 in Yamanouchi et al. sets forth a color photographic element comprising protective layers containing UV absorbing agents at .567 g/m2 and UV absorbing silver halide at .3 g/m2. Example 1 in applicants' specification

sets forth similar color photographic elements containing protective layers the same as Example 2 in Yamanouchi et al. except for the UV absorbing agents and amounts used. The total amount of UV absorbers in Example 1 of applicants' specification is .468 such that Yamanouchi et al. has protective layers with about 20% more UV absorbing agents and substantially more UV absorbing silver halide. Since sensitivity ratios between 420 nm and 370 nm are lowered by increasing UV absorbing agent coverage in Example 1 of applicants' specification and Sample 1 of Example 1 of applicants' specification has a ratio of 75%, the color photographic elements of Example 2 in Yamanouchi et al. with substantially more UV absorbing agents in the protective layer would have sensitivity ratios substantially less than 75% including less than 70% required by the instant claims.

- 4. Claims 1-4 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §
 102(a) as being anticipated by Yamanouchi et al. Yamanouchi et
 al. in Example 2 sets forth color photographic elements
 containing surfactants within the scope of Formula B of the
 instant claims and UV absorbing compounds such that the
 sensitivity ratios of the instant claims are obtained in Example
 2 of Yamanouchi et al. for the reasons as set forth in paragraph
 3 above.
 - 5. Claims 1-4 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Publication 2002-255921. Japanese Publication 2002-255921 is a publication resulting from the foreign priority document of U.S. Patent 6,589,723 to Yamanouchi et al. The U.S. patent to Yamanouchi et al. is considered to be an English language translation of the Japanese publication which is available as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102, paragraph b. The Japanese publication anticipates the instant claims for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 3 above as to why the U.S. patent to Yamanouchi et al. anticipates the instant claims. Since Yamanouchi et al. has a common assignee with the instant application, the assignee is requested to state whether or not the U.S. patent to Yamanouchi et al. is in fact essentially an English translation of the Japanese publication to Yamanouchi et al.

6. Kanazawa et al. is cited of interest in the art as disclosing color photographic films containing surfactants as set forth in the instant claims. Tamacki is cited of interest in the art as disclosing roll films which may contain surfactants. Furlin et al., Yamada et al., Takeuchi et al. '308 and Pitt et al. are cited of interest in the art as disclosing photographic elements containing surfactants as set forth in the instant claims. The prior art submitted by applicants also has been considered.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Schilling at telephone number (571) 272-1335.

RLSchilling:cdc May 26, 2004

> RICHARD L. SCHILLING PRIMARY EXAMINER GHOUP 1160